

## Matrices With Prescribed Nonprincipal Blocks and the Trace Function

Maria da Graça Marques\*

*Departamento de Matemática*

*Faculdade de Ciências de Lisboa*

*Rua Ernesto de Vasconcelos, Bloco C1*

*1700 Lisboa, Portugal*

Submitted by Graciano de Oliveira

---

### ABSTRACT

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be fixed matrices. We discuss the existence of matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}V$  is nonscalar and simultaneously has a prescribed trace.

---

In this paper we prove that, with a few exceptions, given two square matrices  $A$  and  $B$  over an arbitrary field, there exist invertible matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that the matrix  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}V$  is nonscalar and has a prescribed trace. The exceptions occur when the field has two or three elements and then only for certain matrices  $A$  and  $B$  of order 2.

This question was suggested to me by G. N. de Oliveira when he found a flaw in the proof of one of his results about the existence of a matrix with prescribed nonprincipal blocks and characteristic polynomial [1]. In his proof he showed that a given pair of matrices was spectrally complete. However, from this fact it does not follow that a certain combination of matrices can have certain prescribed eigenvalues unless a trace condition is satisfied. Our present result fills the gap in Oliveira's proof, leaving only the exceptional cases, which are dealt with separately. In fact  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}V$  is one of the matrices of the pair we want to be spectrally complete, and so, as we can prescribe the trace for it, we can also arrange the trace condition referred to

---

\*This work was done within the activities of the Centro de Álgebra da Universidade de Lisboa, I.N.I.C. and was partially supported by Projecto 87463 of J.N.I.C.T.

above. For the finite number of exceptional cases we checked de Oliveira's result directly.

As Oliveira's theorem was later used in a generalization by F. C. Silva [2], our present work ensures the validity of Silva's result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonzero  $n \times n$  matrices over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{F}$ . If  $n \geq 3$ , there exist invertible matrices  $U$  and  $V$  such that:*

- (i)  $VAU^{-1} + UB V^{-1}$  is nonscalar.
- (ii)  $\text{tr}(VAU^{-1} + UB V^{-1}) = \mu$ .

If  $n = 2$ , the result fails only in a finite number of cases.

Before proceeding to the proof, notice that we can replace one of the matrices by an equivalent one. Thus if the rank of  $A$  is  $r$ , we can assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_r$  is the  $r \times r$  identity matrix and the zeros represent blocks of appropriate size.

The proof is divided into several cases. In each case we just exhibit the matrices  $U$  and  $V$ , leaving the computations to the reader. Since  $U$  can always be taken to be  $I_n$  or a permutation matrix, in fact we only exhibit  $V$ .

*Proof.*

- I.  $B$  has a nonzero off-diagonal element  $b_{ij}$ . We take as  $V$  the matrix obtained from  $I_n$  by adding its  $i$ th row multiplied by  $(\text{tr } A + \text{tr } B - \mu)/b_{ij}$  to its  $j$ th row.
- II.  $B = \text{diag}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .
  - (1)  $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ .

( $\alpha$ ) *There is a nonzero  $b_i$  with  $i \leq r$ .* Let  $P$  be the permutation matrix obtained from  $I_n$  by interchanging the rows  $i$  and  $r$ . We can replace  $A$  and  $B$  by  $PAP^{-1}$  (this product leaves  $A$  unchanged) and  $PBP^{-1}$  respectively, which amounts to assuming in the initial problem that  $b_r \neq 0$ . We can now take

$$V = I_{r-1} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -x & 1+x \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-1-r},$$

where

$$x = \frac{\mu - \operatorname{tr} A - \operatorname{tr} B}{b_r}.$$

( $\beta$ )  $b_1 = \dots = b_r = 0$ .

( $\beta_1$ )  $r = 1$ . Since  $B \neq 0$ , there is a  $b_i$ , with  $i \geq 2$ , different from zero. Performing a trick with a permutation matrix [as in case ( $\alpha$ )], we may assume that  $i = 2$ .

( $\beta_{11}$ )  $\mu = \operatorname{tr} A + \operatorname{tr} B$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3}.$$

( $\beta_{12}$ )  $\mu \neq \operatorname{tr} A + \operatorname{tr} B$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} & -x^{-1} \\ 0 & -x^{-1} & x^{-1} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3},$$

where

$$x = \frac{\mu - \operatorname{tr} A - \operatorname{tr} B}{b_2} \neq 0.$$

( $\beta_2$ )  $r \geq 2$ .

( $\beta_{21}$ )  $\mu = \operatorname{tr} A + \operatorname{tr} B$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}.$$

( $\beta_{22}$ )  $\mu \neq \operatorname{tr} A + \operatorname{tr} B$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 + x \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2},$$

where

$$x = \mu - \operatorname{tr} A - \operatorname{tr} B (\neq 0).$$

(2)  $r = n$ .

( $\alpha$ ) There are two different indices  $i$  and  $j$ , such that  $b_i \neq -1$  and  $b_j \neq 1$ . Again, using a permutation matrix, we may assume that  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x \\ -1 & 1+x \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}, \quad \text{where } x = \frac{\mu - \operatorname{tr} A - \operatorname{tr} B}{b_1 + 1}.$$

( $\beta$ )  $b_1 = \cdots = b_n = -1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & \mu & -\mu \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3}.$$

( $\gamma$ )  $b_1 = \cdots = b_n = 1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -a & a \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-3},$$

where  $a = \mu + 2 - 2(n - 3)$ .

( $\delta$ )  $\operatorname{car}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ ; there is a  $b_i$  different from 1, the remaining  $b_j$  being 1. We can assume that  $b_1 \neq 1$ . Since  $\operatorname{car}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(A + B) = 1 + b_1 \neq 0$ .

( $\delta_1$ )  $\mu = 1 + b_1$ . We take  $V = I_n$ .

( $\delta_2$ )  $\mu \neq 1 + b_1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 1+x \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}, \quad \text{where } x = \frac{\mu + 1 + b_1}{1 + b_1}.$$

Now we discuss the case  $n = 2$ . For this we suppose that  $A$  is already in the reduced form

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

III.  $B = \operatorname{diag}\{b_1, b_2\}$ .

(1)  $A = I_2$ .

( $\alpha$ )  $b_1 \neq -1$  and  $b_2 \neq 1$ . (The case  $b_1 \neq 1$  and  $b_2 \neq -1$  is the same, up to permutation.) We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x \\ -1 & 1+x \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } x = \frac{\mu - \operatorname{tr} A - \operatorname{tr} B}{b_1 + 1}.$$

( $\beta$ )  $B = I_2$ ,  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1, -1\}$ . There is a  $b$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $b \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 1$ , and  $b \neq -1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } a = \frac{\mu b}{b-1}.$$

( $\gamma$ )  $B = -I_2$ ,  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1, -1\}$ . There is a  $b$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $b \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 1$  and  $b \neq -1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ -b & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } a = \frac{\mu b}{b-1}.$$

$$(2) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

( $\alpha$ )  $b_1 \neq 0$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -x & 1+x \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } x = \frac{\mu - \text{tr } A - \text{tr } B}{b_1}.$$

( $\beta$ )  $b_1 = 0$ .

( $\beta_1$ )  $b_2 \neq 1$  and  $b_2 \neq -1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1+x & x \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } x = \frac{\mu - 1 - b_2}{b_2 + 1}.$$

( $\beta_2$ )  $b_2 = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1, -1\}$ . There is a  $b$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $b \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 1$ , and  $b \neq -1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } a = \frac{\mu b}{b-1}.$$

( $\beta_3$ )  $b_2 = -1$ ,  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\mathbb{F} \neq \{0, 1, -1\}$ . There is a  $b$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  such that  $b \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 1$ , and  $b \neq -1$ . We take

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ -b & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } a = \frac{\mu b}{b-1}.$$

In all the other cases the theorem fails. Before discussing them, notice firstly that, if

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a matrix over an arbitrary field and  $\det X = 1$ , then

$$X^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} u_4 & -u_2 \\ -u_3 & u_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and if  $\det X = -1$ ,

$$X^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_4 & u_2 \\ u_3 & -u_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We discuss now the remaining cases.

(1)  $\mathbb{F} = \{0, 1\}$ .

( $\alpha$ )  $A = I_2 = B$ . We have

$$VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1} = X + X^{-1}, \quad \text{where } X = VU^{-1}.$$

Since  $U$  and  $V$  are nonsingular, the determinant of  $X$  must be 1. We see easily that  $X + X^{-1}$  is always scalar.

( $\beta$ )  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . There is no difficulty in showing that  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}$  is always scalar.

(2)  $\mathbb{F} = \{0, 1, -1\}$ .

( $\alpha$ )  $A = I_2 = B$ . Again we have  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1} = X + X^{-1}$ . There are now two possibilities: either  $\det X = 1$  or  $\det X = -1$ . In the first case  $X + X^{-1}$  is scalar. In the second the trace of  $X + X^{-1}$  is always zero.

( $\beta$ )  $A = I_2$ ,  $B = -I_2$ . We have  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1} = X - X^{-1}$ . It is easy to show that  $X - X^{-1}$  either is scalar or has trace zero.

( $\gamma$ )  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . In  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}$  we have to consider four possibilities, as the determinants of  $U$  and  $V$  can be  $\pm 1$ . We see that  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}$  is either scalar or a zero-trace matrix.

( $\delta$ )  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . As in case ( $\gamma$ ), we see that  $VAU^{-1} + UB^{-1}$  is either scalar or a zero-trace matrix. ■

## REFERENCES

- 1 G. N. de Oliveira, The characteristic values of the matrix  $A + XBX^{-1}$ , in *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Numerical Methods*, Keszthely, Hungary, 1977, pp. 491–500.
- 2 F. C. Silva, Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial and submatrices, *Portugal. Math.* 44(3):261–264 (1987).